UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/708,626	03/16/2004	Kim Kwee Ng		2625
30823 KIM KWEE <b>N</b> O	7590 11/20/200 G	8	EXAMINER	
10 MALIBU LA		SMITH, KIMBERLY S		
CENTEREACH, NY 11720-3042			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3644	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/20/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Response to Rule 312 Communication		10/708,626	NG, KIM KWEE			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Kimberly S. Smith	3644			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address –						
<ul> <li>I.          \[             \begin{align*}</li></ul>						
b) 🔲	entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention.					
c) disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee.  Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1) and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue.						
d) 🛮 disapproved. See explanation below.						
e) 🔲 entered in part. See explanation below.						
The amendment filed 11/17/08 is presenting a new claim containing subject matter associated with prior claim 62. The Applicant's attention is drawn to the office action dated 04/06/07 in which the restriction requirement was withdrawn as to any claim that requires all the limitations of the allowable claim. However, claim 62 was clearly stated to be directed to the enclosure being a wall enclosure, which is not properly dependent to include all the limitations of the independent claim, as the independent claim requires the enclosure being comprised of a set of deflectable strips. Further, the applicant has not stated per MPEP 714.16 as to (A) why the amendment is needed; (B) why the proposed amended or new claims require no additional search or examination; (C) why the claims are patentable; and (D) why they were not presented earlier.						

Reponse to Rule 312 Communication

/Kimberly S Smith/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3644